

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/579,399	05/25/2000	Christopher E. Pearce	062891.0408	7429	
7590 10/21/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Baker Botts L L P			HARPER, KEVIN C		
2001 Ross Ave Dallas, TX 7			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Danies , 111 / 2201 2300			2666		
			DATE MAIL ED: 10/21/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Anniestien Ne	()				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/579,399	PEARCE, CHRISTOPHER E.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kevin C. Harper	2666				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rood will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21	September 2005.					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☒ T	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	ı. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-5,7-9 and 12-60 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5,7-9,12-14,16-33 and 35-60 is/a 7) Claim(s) 15 and 34 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and 	rawn from consideration. are rejected.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corresponding to the cor	ccepted or b) objected to he drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the		The state of the s				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/05. 	Paper No(s	tummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, filed September 21, 2005, have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, the indicated allowability of claims 9, 11, 13-14, 23, 42, 50 and 58 is withdrawn and a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Riskin.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 7-9, 12-14, 16-33 and 35-60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oran (US 6,275,574) in view of Riskin (US 4,757,267).

Regarding claims 1, 7-9, 12-14, 16-21, 23-28, 33, 35-36, 42-48, 50-56 and 58-60, Oran discloses a method of routing calls using dialed number (digit) partitions (fig. 2a; fig. 3, item 32; col. 5, lines 40-50). The method comprises receiving a call request at a call manager (fig. 1, item 18 or 28) from a first device coupled to a packet based network (fig. 1), accessing a dialing partition table based on a partition search space associated with the first device (col. 5, lines 40-50), determining a routing target associated with a telephone number (col. 5, lines 40-46), and communicating the call request to the routing target (col. 6, lines col. 6, lines 56-67). Further regarding claims 45-48 and 51-52, the method is inherently performed using software (fig. 1, item 18, 26 and 28).

Application/Control Number: 09/579,399 Page 3

Art Unit: 2666

2. However, Oran does not disclose dialing partition tables having a number for a long distance call. Riskin discloses dialing partition tables within a partition space having a long distance telephone number (col. 5, lines 31-45; note: the call to the 1-800 number is routed differently based on the location of the caller; fig. 2, item 36; col. 11, lines 40-44). Further regarding claims 23, 42, 50 and 58, a first number from a list of numbers is chosen (col. 4, lines 9-11). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to provide different routing targets based on a dialing partition space in the invention of Oran in order to route a call to a local destination (Riskin, col. 4, lines 4-11).

- 3. Regarding claims 2-5 and 29-32, non-IP telephony devices (fig. 1, item 14) utilizing a gateway (item 18) and IP telephony devices (item 24) are in the network.
- 4. Regarding claims 22, 37-41, 49 and 57, a best phone number match is found for routing (col. 6, lines 56-67).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 15 and 34 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Harper whose telephone number is 571-272-3166. The examiner can normally be reached weekdays from 11:00 AM to 7:00 PM ET.

Application/Control Number: 09/579,399

Art Unit: 2666

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema S. Rao, can be reached at 571-272-3174. The centralized fax number for the Patent Office is 571-273-8300. For non-official communications, the examiner's personal fax number is 571-273-3166 and the examiner's e-mail address is kevin.harper@uspto.gov.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications associated with a customer number is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see portal uspto gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-

9197 (toll-free).

Kevin C. Harper

October 15, 2005

Page 4

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600**